

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,923	11/25/2003	Qing Wang	ROGO 217 (10309708)	9786	
24972	7590 12/23/2004	EXAMINER			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			DO, PENSEE T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
				DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/723,923	WANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pensee T. Do	1641			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport.	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed iirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	February 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, "HCl" is indefinite because it is an abbreviation. Please spell out the abbreviation for abbreviation may have more than one meaning.

In claim 4, the use of the trademark Tacromilus (FK-506) has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bieniarz et al.(US 5,063,109).

Bieniarz teaches a method of attaching a ligand to a solid phase comprising contacting an amine microparticles with 2-iminothiolane HCI (a molecule which reacts with amine); reacting a conjugate comprising maleimide derivatized antibodies (linkerligand) with said iminothiolane HCL-solid phase to attach the ligand to the microparticles. (see examples 7 & 16, especially col. 13, lines 33-40). Regarding the limitation of the molecule contains a protected or unprotected sulfhydryl group, since Bieniarz teaches the same molecule as of the invention, such molecule must contain a protected or unprotected sulfhydryl group and must also react with the amine group in an acylation reaction. Bieniarz's solid phase with a ligand attached thereto satisfies the requirement of claim 9.

Claims 1, 5, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pope et al. (US 5,399,501).

Pope teaches a method for attaching a ligand to a solid phase comprising: contacting an amine group on the surface of a solid phase with a molecule comprising a thiol introducing agent such as thiolanes, succinimidyl thioacetates such as N-succinimidyl-S-acetylthioacetate, and disulfide compounds which are subsequently reduced to a thiol. The specific binding member is activated by a maleimido-NHS active ester heterobifunctional reagent to incorporate a thiol-reactive group on the protein. The derivatized specific binding member is then added to the thiolated solid phase and reacted to produce a covalent linkage. Regarding claim 8, since Pope teaches the same molecule, i.e. thiolanes or succinimidyl thioacetates, such molecule would react with the amine group on the solid phase via a acylation reaction. Pope's solid phase with a

Art Unit: 1641

ligand attached thereto satisfies the requirement of claim 9. The specific binding pair member includes that binds with the analyte which are protein, peptide, an amino acid, a drug include those administered for therapeutic purposes, a bacterium, a virus, and metabolites. The solid phase include polymeric or glass beads, microparticles, tubes, sheets, plates, etc. (see col. 6, lines 8-52; col. 7, lines 27-60; col. 8, lines 16-68; col. 9, line 49-col. 10, line 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieniarz in view of Hansen et al. (US 6,663,861).

Bieniarz has been discussed above.

However, Bieniarz fails to teach a linker as p-maleimidophenyl isocyanate.

Hansen teaches various methods of covalent coupling such as coupling a molecule with sulfhydryl groups to hydroxyl groups by using a N-(p-maleimidophenyl) isocyanate. (see col. 5, lines 15-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to use N-(p-maleimidophenyl) isocyanate as a linker as suggested by Hansen to link the ligand which contains a hydroxyl group to 2-iminothiolane-HCl which contains a sulfhydryl group as taught in the method of Bieniarz since Bieniarz teaches using a maleimide for

Application/Control Number: 10/723,923

Art Unit: 1641

linking the ligand which contains a hydroxyl group and a sulfhydryl group. N-(p-maleimidophenyl) isocyanate is known as a heterobifunctional crosslinker which links a ligand to a solid surface.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bieniarz (US 5,063,109) in view of Siiman et al. (US 5,639,620).

Bieniarz has been discussed above.

However, Bieniarz fails to teach magnetic particles being the solid phase.

Silman teaches magnetic particles coated with aminodextran or gelatin which contains an amine pendent group. Crosslink the ligand/protein/antibody with the magnetic particles by using the bifunctional crosslinking agent such as p-iminothiolane hydrochloride. The coupling of the biological substance to the particle involves activation of the free amino groups of the gelatin-coated particles with a water soluble heterobifunctional reagent such as 2-iminothiolane hydrochloride (IT), sulfosuccinimidyl-4-(N-maleimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (sulfo-SMCC), m-maleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester, N-succinimidy1-3-(2-pyridyldithio)propionate, succinimidyl-4-(p-maleimidophenyl)butyrate, N-succinimidyl-(4-iodoacetyl)aminobenzoate, the reagents listed above as substitutes for glutaraldehyde and the like. The 2-iminothiolane hydrochloride and the maleimidyl/succinimidyl reagents are preferred. (see col. 7, lines 60-65; col. 10, lines 15-25, 53-60)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to use magnetic particles as a solid phase as taught by Siiman in the method of Bieniarz since both

Application/Control Number: 10/723,923

Art Unit: 1641

references teach a method of conjugating a ligand to a solid surface via a bifunctional crosslinking agent and because ligand bound magnetic particles, in an immunoassay, can be separated by magnetic force rather than centrifugation which is time consuming.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pope et al. (US 5,399,501) in view of Armstrong (US 5,964,996).

Pope has been discussed above.

However, Pope fails to teach the ligand is an antibiotic.

Armstrong teaches macrocyclic antibiotic chemically bonded to a solid support such as silica gel, agarose, dextran, cellulose, branch amylose (see col. 6, lines 58-67; col. 7, lines 5-10). via linkages such as amine, amide, thioler groups (see col. 7, lines 27-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to attach antibiotic as taught by Armstrong to solid phase according to the method of Pope through routine experimentation since these antibiotics also contain a carboxyl or thiolether groups thereby enabling the reaction with a coupling agent or thiol introducing agent.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pope e al. (US 5,399,501) in view of Armstrong (US 5,964,996) further in view of Molna-Kimber et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0151088A1).

Pope and Armstrong have been discussed above.

Both Pope and Armstrong fail to teach antibiotic such as Rapamycin.

Molna-Kimber teaches rapamycin is a macrocylic antibiotic. (see page 1, 1st col. 2nd paragraph).

Art Unit: 1641

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills to use Rapamycin as taught by Molna-Kimber in the combination method of Pope and Armstrong since Rapamycin is a macrocyclic antibiotic and Pope in combination with Armstrong suggested that macrocylic antibiotics can be coupled to a solid phase for detecting specific antibodies against antibiotics such as Rapamycin because Rapamycin have immunosuppressant activity as well as antibiotic and other pharmacological activities and are useful in treating graft and transplant rejections, diseases of inflammation and autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, and multiple sclerosis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pensee T. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-0819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pensee T. Do Patent Examiner December 8, 2004

> CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800-/44/

Christoph L. Chi

12/13/04